INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

lonal Application No

A. CLASSI IPC 7	IFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C01B17/04 B01D53/86				
	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classific	eation and IPC			
	S SEARCHED locumentation searched (classification system followed by classificat				
IPC 7	CO1B	ion symbols)			
Documenta	alion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that	such documents are included. In the fields se	parched		
Electronic o	data base consulted during the international search (name of data be	ase and, where practical, search terms used)		
	nternal, PAJ, WPI Data				
C. DOCUM	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	elevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X	US 4 798 716 A (PALM ET AL) 17 January 1989 (1989-01-17) cited in the application * claims; figure; column 5, line column 8, line 39- column 9, 43	es 13-17; *	1,4		
X	EP 1 166 850 A (THE BOC GROUP PL 2 January 2002 (2002-01-02) claims; figure 3	.c)	1		
A	EP 1 186 334 A (THE BOC GROUP PL 13 March 2002 (2002-03-13) paragraph '0045!; claims	.C)	1-12		
А	EP 0 565 316 A (THE BOC GROUP PL 13 October 1993 (1993-10-13) cited in the application	.C)			
Fu	Inther documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed	in annex.		
° Special o	categories of cited documents:	PT later decomposit multiplicate of the state of the stat			
i cons	ment defining the general state of the art which is not sidered to be of particular relevance or document but published on or after the international	*T* later document published after the int or priority date and not in conflict will cited to understand the principle or the invention	n the application but neory underlying the		
"L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention or the special reason (or specified). "Y" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention or involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention					
O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. '&' document member of the same patent family					
	ne actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the International se			
	21 April 2005	27/04/2005			
Name and	d mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk	Authorized officer			
	Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016	Zalm, W			

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

ial Application No 32004/003032

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 4798716	Α	17-01-1989	US	4849203	Α	18-07-1989
EP 1166850	Α	02-01-2002	EP	1166850	A1	02-01-2002
			US	2002021993	A1	21-02-2002
EP 1186334	Α	13-03-2002	AU	777024	B2	30-09-2004
			ΑU	5801301	Α	07-03-2002
			BR	0103441		02-04-2002
			CA	2354305	A1	28-02-2002
			CN	1340603	Α	20-03-2002
			EP	1186334	A1	13-03-2002
			JP	2002145605		22-05-2002
			US	2002025292		28-02-2002
			ZA	200106651	Α	22-08-2002
EP 0565316	Α	13-10-1993	AT	223252	T	15-09-2002
			ΑU	3546293	Α	11-11-1993
			BR	9301445		13-10-1993
			CA	2093390		07-10-1993
			CN	1086459		11-05-1994
			DE	69332260		10-10-2002
			DE	69332260		15-05-2003
			EP	0565316		13-10-1993
			JP	3434313		04-08-2003
			JP	6087605		2 9 –03–1994
			NZ	247336		28-08-1995
			US	5468458		21 -11-1995
			ZΑ	9302409	Α	22-10-1993

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	1	9	SEP	2005
WIPO	_	_		PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference M03B180/MW	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	ON s	ee Form PCT/iPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/GB2004/003032	International filing date (day) 13.07.2004	month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.07.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n C01B17/04	lational classification and IPC					
Applicant THE BOC GROUP PLC						
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this	cover sheet.	· ·			
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising:					
	to the International Bureau,					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following iter	ms:				
☑ Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion					
☐ Box No. II Priority						
☐ Box No. III Non-establisl	hment of opinion with regard	d to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability			
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
1	cts in the international appli					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report				
31.01.2005		19.09.2005				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	de de la contra del la			
European Patent Office - NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pa Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 T	ys Bas	Zalm, W	y - chwoyd			
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	,,	Telephone No. +31 70) 340-			

NTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003032

	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	which □ inte □ pu □ int	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	have heer	rd to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which in furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):			
	Description	n, Pages			
٠.	1-22	as originally filed			
	Claims, N	umbers			
	1-17	as originally filed			
	Drawings	, Sheets			
	1/4-4/4	as originally filed			
	□ a se	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
	□ t □ t □ t	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: he description, pages he claims, Nos. he drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
	had not Suppler	s report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the nental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
	* If	item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 13-17 No: Claims 1-12

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 13-17

No: Claims 1-12

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-17

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 4 798 716 A (PALM ET AL) 17 January 1989 cited in the application

D2: EP 0 565 316 A (THE BOC GROUP PLC) 13 October 1993 cited in the application

2. Novelty

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 4 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Prior art document D1 (cited at page 1 of the description of the present application) discloses a Claus process wherein the tail gas is recycled to the burner furnace or to a Claus-catalyst stage. The recycle stream may contain either sulfur dioxide or hydrogen sulfide as single sulfur containing component. In case of hydrogen sulfide as component the recycle stream can be obtained making use of a reducing agent (line 49 of the figure). This is however not a compulsory feature of the process (see column 9, lines 38-40). The process of claim 1,4 of the application under consideration wherein the recycle stream is passed to the upstream catalytic stage is known from D1 and considered not new.

Process **claim 1** of the present application includes the embodiment that the excess first portion can be passed to the Claus furnace remote from the combustion region (see step l): 'or' in line 24).

D2 teaches a process for the removal of sulfur from waste gas streams by the Claus process. After the burner (after sulfur removal) part of the gas stream is reduced with hydrogen in order to obtain a gas stream without sulfur dioxide. Water is subsequently removed and the stream is recycled to the burner. As goes from column 12, lines 29-33 the point of entry can be the furnace positioned directly after the burner in stead of the burner feed. This feature of claim 1 thus lacks novelty too.

3. Inventive step

The process according to claim 1 whereby both measures are applied appears an obvious

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003032

combination of the teachings of D1 and D2 and thus is regarded not to meet the requirement for the presence of an inventive step (Art 33(3) PCT).

4. The process as defined by independent process **claim 13** whereby the recycle stream is <u>introduced into the intermediate region</u> of the first catalytic stage is not known from prior art nor derivable therefrom in an obvious way and appears to meet the requirement for patentability.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because **claims 1 and 13** are not clear.

(i) The expression 'reduced' in step j) of claim 1 should be used in the meaning of 'sulfur dioxide content reduced', since it refers to a reduced <u>content</u> and not to a chemically reduced gas stream. In case the applicants are of the opinion that the method of reduction is always performed by reduction with the reducing gas hydrogen, this should be made clear by addition thereof to step i). In that case it appears that deleting the word 'content' from step i) than would overcome the objection. The description should be adapted thereto.

A similar objection (and solution therefore in order to overcome) is regarded to exist with regard to independent process claim 13.

(ii) Claim 13 includes the option that the whole flow coming from the catalytic stage is recycled. It appears that at least some gas should be taken out of the stream at any point of the cycle in order to meet the requirement of mass balance in the system. Deletion of the words 'at least' (line 16) S would solve the problem.